SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, MAY 20, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S132595

B176030 Second Appellate District, Division Five TRAVELERS CASUALTY & SURETY v. S.C. (PLAINTIFFS IN THE CLERGY CASES I) Time extended to grant or deny review

to June 24, 2005.

S015384

PEOPLE v. LETNER & TOBIN Extension of time granted

to July 25, 2005 to file appellant TOBIN'S reply brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S030553

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE) Extension of time granted

to July 19, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 12 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Stephanie Miyashi's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 8/1/2005.

S064769

PEOPLE v. HAWTHORNE (CARLOS) Extension of time granted

to July 25, 2005 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Arcelia L. Hurtado's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 9/21/2005.

S085348

PEOPLE v. CASTANEDA (GABRIEL)

Extension of time granted

to July 26, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S123539

DUNN (RODNEY T.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to and including June 20, 2005 for petitioner to serve and file the reply to the informal response.

S046176

PEOPLE v. CORNWELL (GLEN)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Good cause appearing (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 31.2(a)), respondent's request filed April 28, 2005 for copies of sealed reporter's transcript pages 4970-5039 and 5416-5432 is granted. The Clerk of this court is directed to provide a copy of these pages to respondent. Respondent also has requested reporter's transcript pages 5433-5495. The last referenced pages record proceedings in open court conducted on December 12, 1994, and December 15, 1994. The Clerk of this court is directed to provide a copy of reporter's transcript pages 5433-5494 to respondent. The record filed in this court does not contain a reporter's transcript page 5495.

S014200

PEOPLE v. DUNKLE (JON S.) Request for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial notice, filed on July 31, 2000, is granted.

SAN FRANCISCO

MAY 20, 2005 980

S125822

F044121 Fifth Appellate District

Z. (JOSIAH), IN RE Orders filed (2)

- (1) The request of counsel for respondent to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondent at oral argument is hereby granted.
- (2) The request of respondent to allocate to Michelle Trujillo 10 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S126945

B167799 Second Appellate District, Division Three H. (KRISTINE) v. R. (LISA) Orders filed (2)

- (1) The request of counsel for respondent to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondent at oral argument is hereby granted.
- (2) the request of respondent to allocate to amicus curiae National Center of Lesbian Rights 10 minutes of Respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S129821

F045972 Fifth Appellate District

M. (SARA) v. S.C. (DEPT OF SOCIAL SERVICES) Orders filed (2)

- (1) The request of counsel for real party in interest to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of real party in interest at oral argument is hereby granted.
- (2) The request of real party in interest to allocate to amicus curiae California State Association of Counties 10 minutes of real party in interest's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

ADMIN. 2005-5-1

IN RE CAPITAL-RELATED HABEAS CORPUS FILINGS Order filed

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 44(b)(1)(C), with regard to supporting documents accompanying a capital-related habeas corpus petition, opposition, or other response to the petition, or a reply, counsel are required to file an original and two copies of such supporting documents, plus one electronic (CD) version of the supporting documents. This policy is effective as of May 20, 2005.